

## **Applications of RURBAN Integrated with a Transport Model in Detailed Zone System**

Kazuaki Miyamoto

Professor, Faculty of Environmental and Information Studies  
Musashi Institute of Technology  
3-3-1 Ushikubo-nishi, Tuzuki-ku, Yokohama 224-0015 Japan  
Email: miyamoto@yc.musashi-tech.ac.jp  
Tel: +81-45-910-2592, Fax: +81-45-910-2593

Varameth Vichiensan

Lecturer, Faculty of Engineering, Kasetsart University  
50 Phahonyothin Rd, Ladyao, Jatujak, Bangkok 10900 Thailand  
E-mail: fengymv@ku.ac.th  
Tel: +66-2-942-8555 ext 1359, Fax: +66-2-579-4575

Nao Sugiki

Engineer, Research & Planning Department, Docon Co., Ltd.  
4-1, 5-chome, 1-jo, Atsubetsu-cho, Atsubetsu-ku, Sapporo 004-8585 Japan  
Email: ns1491@docon.jp  
Tel: +81-11-801-155, Fax: +81-11-801-1556

Keiichi Kitazume

Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Kansai University  
3-3-25 Yamate-cho, Suita, Osaka 564-8680 Japan  
Email: kitazume@ipcku.kansai-u.ac.jp  
Tel: +81-6-6368-0892, Fax: +81-6-6368-0892

### **ABSTRACT**

RURBAN (Miyamoto and Udomsri, 1996) is a land-use model, which is one of the 20 urban models listed up by (Wegener, 2003). The aim of the present paper is to improve RURBAN in the following terms; (1) to rebuild the theoretical interpretation and the model building to solve the inconsistencies and incompleteness found in the existing model, (2) to integrate RURBAN with an existing transport model to constitute a land-use and transport model, (3) to apply the new model to a metropolis with more detailed area unit of analysis and expanded functions of the decision support system, and (4) to validate the effectiveness of the system as a DSS in real planning and project evaluation. The RURBAN model for Sapporo is constructed by using data from various sources. Size of the zones in the study area ranges from 10,000 square meters in the city centre to millions in the suburbs. The number of zones is as many as 8,000 zones. Parameter estimation are justified and validated with the change during 1990 to 1995; and the model could perform satisfactorily. Case study with Subway Line Extension demonstrates the application of the model in the real planning practice.

### **Keywords**

Land Use Model, RURBAN, Decision Support System, Area Unit of Analysis, Subway Extension


## INTRODUCTION

There are many urban models or land use and transport models developed in the world as introduced by (Wegener, 2003). Most of the models employ rather large size of zones. A model named RURBAN (Random Utility/Rent-Bidding ANalysis model) (Miyamoto and Udomsri, 1996), has initially intended to apply to an application that has small size of zones. This is because land use structures in Japan or Asian countries are so complex that large size of zones cannot well describe the urban structure. At the initial development, RURBAN employed 1 sq. km. grid for the unit of analysis due to the data availability. Moreover, when the area unit of analysis becomes smaller, there will be other problems in addition to the difficulty of data acquisition or the computation load for simulation. Besides the well known Modified Area Unit Problem, there are some points in which inconsistency of the interpretation as a behavioral model is found. Although RURBAN is not at all an analytical model but it is an operational model based on the statistical analysis, the theoretical consistency is essentially required.

The objectives of the present paper is to improve RURBAN in the following aspects: (1) to rebuild the theoretical interpretation and the model building in order to solve the inconsistencies and incompleteness found in the existing model, (2) to apply the new model to a metropolis with more detailed area unit of analysis and to expand functions of the decision support system (DSS), and (3) to validate the effectiveness of the system as a DSS in real planning and project evaluation. In order to achieve the high resolution model applications, a detailed zone system in the Sapporo Metropolitan Area, which is established for the basic survey for urban planning, is employed for the case study. The size of zone ranges from 10,000 square meters in the centre of the city to 1,000,000 in the suburbs. The total number of zones is as many as 8,025. Furthermore, the model equations are transferred into equations for parameter estimation by taking the scale effects of zone size and the number of locator group into consideration. The parameter estimation was successfully conducted and obtained enough number of explanatory variables with significant t-values for five groups of urban activities. The model is then validated with respect to the land use and land price at the base year. The validated model has been integrated with an existing transport model in such a way that the system becomes an integrated land use/transport model. In this regard, a set of interface is equipped to the model so that the interaction between models can be effectively executed. As an efficient DSS, the system is equipped with more user-friendly GUI in various functions. Finally, this paper presents a case study to demonstrate the model application in analyzing the effect of transportation change to urban development.

### Outline of RURBAN

Firstly, in order to segment the demand side in the land market, the urban locators are classified according to their characteristics, i.e., a limited number of locator groups are defined. These groups represent discrete options in the random rent-bidding analysis. The supply side of the land market is segmented by aggregating individual sites into zones based on their locational conditions. The zones are regarded as discrete options in the location choice analysis with random utility. The land market is grasped from two viewpoints of locators and sites. If a locator chooses a particular site, it implies that the site gives the locator the highest utility compared with the alternative sites. On the other hand, the locator must bid the highest rent among the alternative locators for the site. At the level of aggregated locator groups and zones, the market can also be similarly explained, although probabilistic consideration should be introduced to represent the coexistence of a number of locators of various groups in a zone which consists of a number of sites. Locators belonging to a group are distributed in zones in proportion to the probability of each zone to give the group the highest utility. The area shared by a locator group in a zone is also proportional to the probabilities that the locator group bid the highest rent at the zone. These probabilities are determined by Logit models in RURBAN. At this level of modeling, "rent in all zones" and "level of utility of all locator groups" are indispensable in the former and the latter explanations, respectively. The concept can be illustrated as in Figure 1.



**Figure 1 Concept of RURBAN System**

The structural equations of RURBAN are shown as follows:

$$\mu U_{IS} = \mu \alpha_I X_{IS} - \omega B_{IS}^* \quad (1)$$

$$q_{IS} = \theta_I \exp(-B_S^*) \quad (2)$$

$$L_{IS} = \frac{A_S}{q_{IS}} \quad (3)$$

$$\omega B_{IS} = \mu \alpha_I X_{IS} - \mu U_I^* \quad (4)$$

$$U_I^* = \frac{1}{\mu} \ln \sum_S \exp(\mu U_{IS} + \ln L_{IS} + \ln w_{IS}) \quad (5)$$

$$B_S^* = \frac{1}{\omega} \ln \sum_I \exp(\omega B_{IS} + \ln N_I + \ln w_{IS}) \quad (6)$$

$I$  : the locator group

$S$  : the zone

$U_{IS}$  : the systematic part of random utility of locator group  $I$  in zone  $S$

$B_{IS}$  : the systematic part of random bid-rent of locator group  $I$  in zone  $S$

$q_{IS}$  : the amount of land used by a unit of locator group  $I$  in zone  $S$

$X_{IS}$  : location conditions (except rent) :  $(X_{IS1}, \dots, X_{ISk}, \dots)$

$\alpha_I$  : parameters for locator group  $I$ :  $(\alpha_{I1}, \dots, \alpha_{Ik}, \dots)^t$

$L_{IS}$  : the number of available sites for the use of locator group  $I$  in zone  $S$

$U_I^*$  : the level of utility of locator group  $I$

$B_S^*$  : the representative rent of zone  $S$

$\mu$  : a positive scale parameter of indirect utility function in location choice

$\omega$  : a positive scale parameter of bid-rent function

$N_I$  : the number of individual locators belonging to locator group  $I$

$A_S$  : available area of zone  $S$

$w_{IS}$  : the measure of heterogeneity of individual locators in locator group  $I$  and individual site in zone  $S$

$\theta_I$  : a parameter of locator group  $I$

Equation (1) represents an indirect utility of a locator group  $I$  in a zone  $S$ . Equation (2) gives the amount of land used by a unit of the group in the zone which is inversely proportional to representative rent of the zone. This function implicitly represents multi-storeyed uses of land in a high land price area. Equation (3) shows the number of available sites for the use of the group at the zone. The number of optional sites in the zone affects the probability of the group to choose the zone. Equation (4) represents bid-rent of the group at the zone. This equation is a kind of dual equation of Equation (1). Equation (5) gives the level of utility of the group in the whole area. It is a logsum function of all utilities of the group in the study area. Finally, Equation (6) represents the representative rent in the zone which is also a logsum function. The latter two functions give key values which determine the general equilibrium of the land market as discussed earlier.

## **THEORETICAL IMPROVEMENTS**

### **Interpretation as a Behavioral Model**

RURBAN (Miyamoto, et al, 1989 and 1996) considers both utility and rent-bidding in the formulation. MUSSA model (Martinez, et al, 1995) also includes the both aspects in the formulation. With the reference of MUSSA and MUSSA II (Martinez, et al, 2007), the interpretation of RURBAN has been revised as follows.

RURBAN employs both random utility and random rent-bidding, as mentioned earlier. However, it can be said that bid-rent is another expression of locator's utility. The reason why RURBAN employs rent-bidding in the model is simply to determine land price of each zone. However, the old interpretation that the demand side, the supply side and the equilibrium are considered is not very theoretically consistent as a behavioral model, since the land owner's behavior from the supply side is not explicitly considered. Therefore, the following urban system is prepared as an interpretation of the equilibrium in RURBAN.

- (1) A closed city is considered.
- (2) The number of a locator group is exogenously given to the city.
- (3) All the locators in the group are indifferent.
- (4) The city is divided into zones.
- (5) Each zone is owned by an absent land owner.
- (6) Each parcel of land in each zone is indifferent and readjusted at the beginning of each term.
- (7) The land rent is offered by the land owner based on the equilibrium price of the previous term.
- (8) At the beginning of each term, a locator applies to a zone with the area size he wants to use inside the zone based on the land rent.
- (9) The utility of the locator is given by a random utility.
- (10) The number of locator in a group who applies to the zone is given by the expected value based on the choice probability.
- (11) The utility of all locators belonging to a locator group is unique as the level of utility of the group throughout the city so far as they locate themselves in the city and is given by the logsum value of the group. The difference between the level of utility of a locator group and utility value calculated for the locator group in a zone can be interpret as the realized error term in random utility formulation.
- (12) If demand for a zone exceeds the available area in the zone, the land owner raises land rent.
- (13) When the land rent is converged, the market is regarded as in the state of equilibrium.
- (14) The equilibrium land rent is equal to the logsum value in random rent-bidding of locator groups with tier levels of utility given by (11). Same as in (11), the difference between the equilibrium land rent of a zone and bid-rent calculated for a locator group in the zone can be interpret as the realized error term in random rent-bidding formulation.
- (15) The bid-rent of non-urban land use is given as a boundary condition.

### **Adjustment to Modifiable Area Unit Problem**

The new system employs very detail spatial unit, i.e., small zones. Since the zone size of the new system ranges from 10,000 square meters in the centre of the city to 1,000,000 in the suburbs, the

following adjustment is employed in the utility function. That is, the model equations are transferred into equations for the parameter estimation by taking the scale effects of the zone size and the number of locator group into consideration.

From the utility analysis, the choice probability of locator  $I$  choosing zone  $S$  is

$$P_{IS} = \frac{\exp(U_{IS} + \gamma_I \ln L_{IS})}{\sum_{S'} \exp(U_{IS'} + \gamma_I \ln L_{IS'})} \quad (7)$$

where

$$U_{IS} = \sum_k \alpha_{Ik} X_{Ik} - \beta_I \ln B_S \quad : \text{the utility of locator } I \text{ in zone } S \quad (8)$$

$$P_{IS} = \frac{N_{IS}}{N_I} \quad : \text{the proportion of locator } I \text{ locating in zone } S \quad (9)$$

$$L_{IS} = \frac{A_S}{q_{IS}} \quad : \text{the number of site available to locator } I \text{ in zone } S \quad (10)$$

$B_S$  : represented by the land price in zone  $S$

$\alpha_{Ik}, \beta_I, \gamma_I$  : parameters

$$0 \leq \gamma_I \leq 1$$

Note that the denominator in (7) can be omitted because it is the same for each locator  $i$  when choosing all zone  $S$ . Then, take logarithm and disregard the denominator of (7),

$$\ln P_{IS} = U_{IS} + \gamma_I \ln L_{IS} \quad (11)$$

Substitute (8), (9), and (10) and into (11), we obtain

$$\ln \left( \frac{N_{IS}}{N_I} \right) = \sum_k \alpha_{Ik} X_{Sk} - \beta_I \ln B_S + \gamma_I \ln L_{IS} + \ln C_1 \quad (12)$$

where  $C_1$  represents the error component

Since  $q_{IS} = \frac{\theta_I}{B_S}$ , the logarithm of (10) can be rewritten as

$$\ln L_{IS} = \ln \left( \frac{A_S}{\theta_I / B_S} \right) = \ln \left( \frac{A_S B_S}{\theta_I} \right) \quad (13)$$

Substitute (13) in (12),

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \left( \frac{N_{IS}}{N_I} \right) &= \sum_k \alpha_{Ik} X_{Sk} - \beta_I \ln B_S + \gamma_I \ln \frac{A_S B_S}{\theta_I} + \ln C_1 \\ &= \sum_k \alpha_{Ik} X_{Sk} - \beta_I \ln B_S + \gamma_I \ln A_S B_S - \gamma_I \ln \theta_I + \ln C_1 \\ &= \sum_k \alpha_{Ik} X_{Sk} - \beta_I \ln B_S + \gamma_I \ln A_S + \gamma_I \ln B_S - \gamma_I \ln \theta_I + \ln C_1 \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Rearrange and grasp the constant terms,

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \left( \frac{N_{IS}}{N_I} \right) &= \sum_k \alpha_{Ik} X_{Sk} + (\gamma_I - \beta_I) \ln B_S + \gamma_I \ln A_S - \gamma_I \ln \theta_I + \ln C_1 \\ &= \sum_k \alpha_{Ik} X_{Sk} + (\gamma_I - \beta_I) \ln B_S + \gamma_I \ln A_S + C_2 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Parameters in the above equation can be estimated by regression analysis where the left hand side is taken as dependent variable.

Similarly, from the bid rent analysis, the choice probability of zone  $S$  choosing locator  $I$  is

$$P_{IS} = \frac{\exp(B_{IS} + \kappa_I \ln N_I)}{\sum_J \exp(B_{JS} + \kappa_J \ln N_J)} \quad (16)$$

$$P_{IS} = \frac{A_{IS}}{A_S} \quad : \text{proportion of land area used by locator } I \quad (17)$$

$$B_{IS} = \frac{1}{\beta_I} \left( \sum_k \alpha_{Ik} X_{Sk} - U_I^* \right) \quad : \text{bid rent function of locator } I \text{ for zone } S \quad (18)$$

$\beta_I, \alpha_{Ik}, \kappa_I$  : parameters

$$0 \leq \kappa_I \leq 1$$

For each pair of locator  $I$  and  $J$  in any zone  $S$ , the proportion of choice probability is

$$\frac{P_{IS}}{P_{JS}} = \frac{A_{IS}}{A_{JS}} = \frac{\exp(B_{IS} + \kappa_I \ln N_I)}{\exp(B_{JS} + \kappa_J \ln N_J)} \quad (19)$$

Take logarithm of (19),

$$\ln \left( \frac{A_{IS}}{A_{JS}} \right) = B_{IS} - B_{JS} + \kappa_I \ln N_I - \kappa_J \ln N_J + C_I - C_J \quad (20)$$

Substitute the bid rent of (18) into (20),

$$\ln \left( \frac{A_{IS}}{A_{JS}} \right) = \frac{1}{\beta_I} \left( \sum_k \alpha_{Ik} X_{Sk} - U_I^* \right) - \frac{1}{\beta_J} \left( \sum_k \alpha_{Jk} X_{Sk} - U_J^* \right) + \kappa_I \ln N_I - \kappa_J \ln N_J + C_I^3 - C_J^3 \quad (21)$$


Rearrange the constant terms,

$$\ln \left( \frac{A_{IS}}{A_{JS}} \right) = \frac{1}{\beta_I} \sum_k \alpha_{Ik} X_{Sk} - \frac{1}{\beta_J} \sum_k \alpha_{Jk} X_{Sk} + \kappa_I \ln N_I - \kappa_J \ln N_J + C_I^4 - C_J^4 \quad (22)$$

With the estimated value of  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  from the utility analysis,  $\kappa$  and the constant terms can be estimated by regression analysis of (22). Although the parameters estimated by the regression analysis, not by the maximum likelihood method, may have distortion to some extent, it is preferred and employed from the operationality point of view.

## RURBAN SYSTEM FOR SAPPORO

As illustrated in Figure 2, the new RURBAN system has been developed with the concept of modular structure, in which each sub-system is connected through standard interface module.



**Figure 2 System Architecture**

Central database, at the same time, is accessible from every system component. The main code is written in Java Language, which makes the system be platform-independent, meaning that it can runs on any operating system having Java virtual machine installed. The system can be connected with the conventional transport model by means of interface module, which calculates the interzonal travel impedance and gives input to the land use part. In addition, the system is designed with the end-user in mind. User interacts with the system through the Graphical Interface under Windows Environment, such as selecting project options, setting the control total, editing the network, executing the simulation, visualizing the output in the GIS environment, etc. This makes easier for decision makers as well as the community to participate in the planning/evaluation.

The starting window of the system allows users to construct a new project and to retrieve the existing projects, which provides full functions of file manipulation. The Main Panel, shown in Figure 3, provides the full operational functions, including execute the land-use simulation by RURBAN, add transport policy, adjust the simulation control total, launch the GIS to view the output.





Figure 3 Main Panel

In particular, transport policy can be incorporated in the analysis by means of editing the network, e.g., adding/deleting node or link, changing the link properties/conditions under the network edition module, as shown in Figure 4. The module also provides file manipulation so that the network edition/transport policy measure can be saved separately for the future simulation. Then, the change in transport condition is realized by means of travel impedance, presently by interzonal travel time, which is determined by the external transport model through appropriate interface.




Figure 4 Network Editor Module

Next, the model simulation such as developing a scenario requires an estimate of the regional population and employment growth over the desired forecasting time horizon (often 20 years). Aggregate population and employment (locator) are exogenous values considered across the study area. The control total can then be adjusted by using the economic framework setting module, as shown in Figure 5. The growth rate and the future forecast can be specified graphically for each type of locator. In the RURBAN for Sapporo Metropolitan Area, six types of urban locators are defined: Manufacturing industry, Business firm, Retail and Restaurant, Single household family, Married household family, and Two-or-more household family.



**Figure 5 Control Total Setting**

After finishing the model run, the output can be viewed graphically under the GIS environment, presently using MapInfo Professional. Customization is done such that the zonal number of each type of locator can be shown by one-click on a toolbar. This again provides the practitioner-friendly environment so that the technical result can be transferred to the community more efficiently.




**Figure 6 Output Embedded in the GIS Environment**

### High Resolution Zone System

In the present study, the new RURBAN is built for Sapporo Metropolitan area of Japan, which is located in its northern main island. It is markedly monocentric with about two millions population. The detailed zone system in the Sapporo Metropolitan Area, which has been established for the basic survey for urban planning, is employed for the case study. The size of zone ranges from 10,000 square meters in the centre of the city to 1,000,000 in the suburbs. The number of zones is 8,025 in the city center, as shown in Figure 7.





**Figure 7 High Resolution Zone System**

In term of transport facility, the public transport modes are well provided in the area: several commuter railway lines (JR lines), three subway lines, as well as the efficient bus network. Meanwhile, the road transport is well organized with the well connected road network, both local street and expressway. In total, the transport network in the present system is consisted of 3,940 links and 2,406 nodes, which forms the main four link types, as shown in Figure 8.




**Figure 8 Transport Network**

In the GIS, the detailed zone system with the transport network provides the basic unit for data storage and further analysis. Various kinds of necessary data collected at different years are overlaid in the system: land prices, household by type, population by different category, employment by industry category and household category, land use by land category, etc. In addition, a raster map is inserted as a background image for better visualization.

### **Transport Model Interface**

After the newly estimated RURBAN model is validated by observing the base forecast of land use and land price, it is integrated with an existing transport model in order to form up an integrated urban model. In this regard, a set of interface is equipped to the model so that the interaction between land use and transport models can be effectively executed. It is designed to be universal such that any kind of models dealing with any urban sub-system, including land use, transport, or the Environment model, can be connected. This idea of universal interface may be illustrated as in Figure 9.



**Figure 9 Interface Module**

In particular, RURBAN currently uses the interzonal travel time (both the travel time by auto and the composite travel time) from the travel model of Sapporo to capture the effects of transportation policy changes on land price and household location. It is, however, possible to incorporate other means of transport effects such as composite travel utility by demand category or accessibility measure by using additional module with an appropriate interface.

## MODEL CALIBRATION AND VALIDATION

The present model is calibrated by using data from various sources. The number of household in 1990 and 1995 and the employment in 1991 and 1996 are obtained from the census data. Land use by category in 1991 and 1996 are obtained from the city planning survey data, which has been conducted periodically in 1986, 1991, 1996, and so on. The other data such as land price, transportation network are also obtained from the transportation planning study data published in 1995.

### Parameter Estimation

The model parameters are estimated by the regression of Equation 22; and the results are shown in Table 1 to Table 6. It is found that almost of all parameters of variables for locators are significantly estimated obtaining the expected sign. Let notice that the parameter for the developable land shows the significant of the zone size effect. In words, the larger the zone is, the more attractive it is. Careful attention is given to the potential of the zone for development. In this case, the potential for commercial and residential land use is considered. The potential for commercial use represents the accessibility of each zone to retail/ restaurant business locators while the potential for residential use represents the accessibility to residential locators. Mathematically, the potential for land use in zone  $i$   $P_i$  is written as:

$$P_i = \sum_j f(N_j, t_{ij}) \quad (23)$$

where  $N_j$  is the number of household or employee of retail/ restaurant in zone  $j$ ,  $t_{ij}$  is the interzonal time from zone  $i$  to zone  $j$ , and  $j$  the is other zones than  $i$ . In this study, three forms of the potential function ( $f$ ) are employed:

$$f_1 = N_j \times \exp(-t_{ij}), \quad f_2 = \frac{N_i}{t_{ij}}, \quad f_3 = \frac{N_i}{t_{ij}^2}$$

In addition, the parameters for specific locator group are also estimated; the result is shown in Table 7. Eventually, the estimated parameters are used for the model calibration, i.e., the residual of the model is determined and compensated accordingly.

**Table 1 Manufacturing Industry**

<i>Explanatory Variable</i>	<i>Unit</i>	<i>Parameter</i>	<i>t-value</i>
Travel time to Sapporo station by car	min	-1.1270E-02	-5.02
Travel time to nearest IC by car	min	-1.0437E-02	-2.69
Percent of road surface in zone	%	4.5406E-06	1.99
Floor area ratio	%	2.9918E-03	7.97
Log of land price	log(yen/m <sup>2</sup> )	-1.7498E-01	-3.31
Log of developable land	log(m <sup>2</sup> )	2.9740E-01	6.58
Dummy of industrial land category	-	1.0288E+00	15.81

**Table 2 Business Firm**

<i>Explanatory Variable</i>	<i>Unit</i>	<i>Parameter</i>	<i>t-value</i>
Minimum travel time to nearest station	min	-7.3794E-03	-2.51
Dummy of facing to the main road	-	9.4276E-02	4.17
Percent of road surface in zone	%	4.6458E-06	4.05
Floor area ratio	%	2.1225E-03	11.78
Log of land price	log(yen/m <sup>2</sup> )	1.6948E-01	8.55
Log of developable land	log(m <sup>2</sup> )	3.2108E-01	15.88
Potential (f1) = Employee×exp(-travel time)	person /exp(min)	5.8377E-05	7.13
Dummy for commercial land category	-	1.6148E-01	4.60

**Table 3 Retail/Restaurant**

<i>Explanatory Variable</i>	<i>Unit</i>	<i>Parameter</i>	<i>t-value</i>
Minimum travel time to nearest station	min	-6.8662E-03	-1.75
Dummy of facing to the main road	-	1.9461E-01	7.12
Percent of road surface in zone	%	3.5336E-06	2.44
Floor area ratio	%	2.4339E-03	11.12
Log of land price	log(yen/m <sup>2</sup> )	1.9902E-01	6.76
Log of developable land	log(m <sup>2</sup> )	3.8944E-01	15.70
Potential (f2) = Household÷Travel time	household /min	5.1480E-06	2.38
Potential (f3) = Employee÷Travel time <sup>2</sup>	person/min <sup>2</sup>	7.5821E-06	2.95
Dummy for commercial land category	-	2.6175E-01	6.27

**Table 4 Single-Household Family**

<i>Explanatory Variable</i>	<i>Unit</i>	<i>Parameter</i>	<i>t-value</i>
Minimum travel time to nearest station	min	-2.8991E-02	-10.36
Potential (f1) = Employee/Travel time	person/min	1.6676E-05	13.21
Log of land price	log(yen/m <sup>2</sup> )	3.1585E-01	12.99
Log of developable land	log(m <sup>2</sup> )	5.6499E-01	37.65
Dummy for low-rise house	-	-4.2305E-01	-12.40
Dummy for middle/high-rise housing/condominium	-	2.7051E-01	8.98
Dummy for other types of house	-	2.6872E-01	8.60

**Table 5 Couple-Household Family**

<i>Explanatory Variable</i>	<i>Unit</i>	<i>Parameter</i>	<i>t-value</i>
Minimum travel time to Sapporo station	min	-2.6134E-03	-4.67
Minimum travel time to nearest station	min	-1.4829E-02	-8.57
Dummy of facing to the main road	-	-1.7454E-02	-1.13
Percent of road surface in zone	%	1.7413E-06	1.84
Floor area ratio	%	3.4782E-04	2.25
Log of land price	log(yen/m <sup>2</sup> )	1.7751E-01	11.42
Log of developable land	log(m <sup>2</sup> )	6.9601E-01	52.60
Dummy for low-rise house	-	1.9698E-01	6.33
Dummy for middle/high-rise housing/condominium	-	3.3689E-01	14.16
Dummy for other types of house	-	2.6846E-01	12.34

**Table 6 Two+ Household Family**


<i>Explanatory Variable</i>	<i>Unit</i>	<i>Parameter</i>	<i>t-value</i>
Minimum travel time to Sapporo station	min	-4.5279E-03	-8.03
Minimum travel time to nearest station	min	-9.9158E-03	-5.64
Dummy of facing to the main road	-	-2.6981E-02	-1.78
Percent of road surface in zone	%	6.9049E-06	6.99
Floor area ratio	%	1.3261E-04	0.84
Log of land price	log(yen/m <sup>2</sup> )	1.1852E-01	7.63
Log of developable land	log(m <sup>2</sup> )	7.0920E-01	52.83
Dummy for low-rise house	-	3.5269E-01	11.45
Dummy for middle/high-rise housing/condominium	-	3.8554E-01	16.51
Dummy for other types of house	-	2.8848E-01	13.54

**Table 7 Locator-Specific Parameters**

<i>Locator Type</i>	$\gamma_i$	$\beta_i$
Manufacturing Industry	0.297	0.472
Business	0.321	0.152
Retail/Restaurant	0.389	0.190
Single Family	0.565	0.249
Married Couple Family	0.696	0.519
Two or More-Generation Family	0.709	0.591

### Model Validation: Change between 1990 and 1995

RURBAN Sapporo is validated by running the model and comparing the change of during the period with the real data. The comparison results are shown in Figure 10. It can be seen that the model could replicate the change during 1990 to 1995 to large extent as indicated by the correlation coefficient, especially the Retail and Single Household Family groups. Therefore, it is judged that the estimated model is valid to model the behavior of those locators in the study area and will be used in the subsequent analysis.

**Figure 10 Change during 1990 to 1995: Model Result versus Real Data**

In the said two points of time, the control total of locators in each year is shown in Table 8.

**Table 8 Simulation Control Total**


Locator Group	1990	1995
Manufacturing Industry	77,102	77,039
Business Firm	518,360	563,335
Retail/Restaurant	297,851	329,675
Single-Household Family	221,297	274,437
Married-Household Family	134,338	167,894
Two+ Household Family	423,443	434,598
Agriculture	6,548	6,415

## MODEL APPLICATION

The RURBAN Sapporo system allows a range of policy to be analyzed and tested; both land use and transport-related policy in Sapporo Metropolitan Area. Base year is 1990 and the target year is 1995. Various transport development have been proposed in the area; such as road network development and subway extension.

### South Extension of Toho Subway Line


In the past, the subway service was not available in the neighborhood areas of the Higashi Ward, the Southeastern area of Sapporo City. People living there need to use Nanboku Line, which was running farther away parallel to the Higashi Ward. However, Nanboku Line was already over capacity (over 200% loading). The South section of Toho Line was, therefore, proposed and pushed forward to directly serve resident of Higashi Ward. Although passenger loading when opened was less than the planned figures, the adjacent area have been developing and growing rapidly as may be seen from many high-rise condominium, sport & fitness center, etc. This paper presents the analysis of the transportation change effect to urban development, i.e., the 5.5-km south extension of Toho line from *Hosui Susukino Station* to *Fukuzumi Station* in 1994. After completion, the system is consisted of 14 stations with the total length of 13.6 kilometers. The system may be regarded as the automated guide way transit with the tire wheel trains. The completed system map is shown in Figure 11.



**Figure 11 Complete Network with Toho Line**

### More Convenient Travel


In 1995, travel time to the city center is shown to be substantially reduced; the changes in travel time on the fastest mode and on public transport are shown in Figure 12. Such reduction in travel cost and time means the improved accessibility to the area along the Toho line.



**Figure 12 Change of Travel Time to the City Center: on the Fastest Mode and Public Transport**

**Increase in Land Value**


Better accessibility to the south-eastern area brought by the new subway section has made change in the urban activities by the land and transport market mechanism as simulated by the model. The increased in land value can be observed along the new subway section as shown in Figure 13.



**Figure 13 Change in Land Value**


**Preference of Different Locators**

There are significant changes in each household type, as shown in Figure 14. Due to the convenience in traveling to the city center with Toho line, the single family households are preferred to live along Toho line, where land price or rent is much cheaper than in the city center. However, the increased in land value makes land or floorspace available to the larger household such as couple or multiple-member household become smaller, which are not very preferable for them. So they tend to move out to find large space to locate, where land value is much lower than the area along Toho line.




**Figure 14 Household: Single, Couple, and Multiple Family**

For the manufacturing and business firm groups, their numbers in the area along Toho line are also declining largely due to the increase in land value shown in Figure 15 and Figure 16.




**Figure 15 Manufacturing Industry**



**Figure 16 Business Firm**

On the other hand, the neighborhood shops are increasing in many areas along Toho Lines. This is due to the increased in residents and retail activities, especially at the end terminal as shown in Figure 17.



**Figure 17 Neighborhood Shops**

Therefore, it is learnt from the simulation that Toho line has significant effect to location decision of urban locators differently. The result is useful in planning of sufficient infrastructure in order to provide the efficient public service to the community. In terms of transportation, the change in travel demand in the future year is very important information in both planning and its operation.

## CONCLUDING REMARKS

This paper presented the newly improved RURBAN model, from both theoretical and operational viewpoints. The model interpretation is now theoretical consistent while the software is fully operational and user-friendly. Within the GIS environment, the system for Sapporo employs very detail spatial unit and has produced high resolution results, which are demanded for the more advanced analysis such as microsimulation or the environmental modeling. The parameter estimation gave us enough numbers of explanatory variables with the expected signs. The model is validated with the change from 1990 to 1995 and has satisfied performance. The model is then used for various policy analyses in Sapporo; both land use and transport related. A case study to analyze the effect of subway Toho line to urban structure in Sapporo is presented. It is found that different locators, who have different preference, behave and locate differently. Lastly, in the near future, the system will be used to simulate a series of change in land use and transportation by quasi-dynamic structure such that wider discussion can be made elaborately such as the sustainability of the city.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (18560524) from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

## REFERENCES

- Miyamoto, K. & Udomsri, R. (1996) *An analysis system for integrated policy measures regarding land use, transport and the environment in a metropolis*. In Hayashi, Y., Roy, J. (eds.) *Transport, Land Use and the Environment*, Dordrecht, Kluwer.
- Miyamoto, K. & Kitazume, K. (1989) *A land use model based on Random Utility/Rent Bidding Analysis (RURBAN)*, *Proceedings of The Fifth World Conference on Transport Research*, 4, 107-121
- Martínez, F.J. & Donoso, P. (1995). *MUSSA Model: The theoretical framework*. *Modelling Transport Systems*. Proceedings of the 7th World Conference on Transport Research WCTR, 2, 333-343.
- Martínez, F.J. & Donoso, P. (2007), *MUSSA II: a land use equilibrium model based on constrained idiosyncratic behavior of all agents in an auction market*. Compendium of Papers, the 86th Annual Meeting of Transportation Research Board (CD-ROM).



Wegener, M. (2003) Overview of Land Use Transport Model *Invited Paper of the 8th International Conference on Computers in Urban Planning and Urban Management*, (CD-ROM).

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 Manufacturing Industry .....	11
Table 2 Business Firm .....	11
Table 3 Retail/Restaurant.....	11
Table 4 Single-Household Family .....	11
Table 5 Couple-Household Family .....	11
Table 6 Two+ Household Family .....	12
Table 7 Locator-Specific Parameters .....	12
Table 8 Simulation Control Total .....	12

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 Concept of RURBAN System .....	3
Figure 2 System Architecture .....	6
Figure 3 Main Panel.....	7
Figure 4 Network Editor Module.....	7
Figure 5 Control Total Setting .....	8
Figure 6 Output Embedded in the GIS Environment.....	8
Figure 7 High Resolution Zone System.....	9
Figure 8 Transport Network.....	9
Figure 9 Interface Module .....	10
Figure 10 Change during 1990 to 1995: Model Result versus Real Data.....	12
Figure 11 Complete Network with Toho Line.....	13
Figure 12 Change of Travel Time to the City Center: on the Fastest Mode and Public Transport.....	14
Figure 13 Change in Land Value .....	14
Figure 14 Household: Single, Couple, and Multiple Family .....	15
Figure 15 Manufacturing Industry .....	15
Figure 16 Business Firm .....	15
Figure 17 Neighborhood Shops .....	16